## **Musculoskeletal stress and phacoemulsification:** *Can surgeon-centered ergonomic designs be meaningful in the OR?*

### Presented by Brian Schwam, MD

Tawnya Pastuck, OD Luis Atiles, MD Dari Parizadeh, PharmD Gerrit Dykstra Adam Toner Leilei Ji, MD Jourdan Colter Ying Wang

Abstract: 30067604



## Financial Disclosure 2021



### Presenter: Brian Schwam, MD Johnson & Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc.: E

Co-authors: Tawnya Pastuck, Luis Atiles, Dari Parizadeh, Gerrit Dykstra, Adam Toner, Leilei Ji, Jourdan Colter, Ying Wang Johnson and Johnson Surgical Vision, Inc.: E



Surgeons have a moderate risk of injuries due to improper ergonomics<sup>1</sup>



17% of eye care physicians experience hand/wrist musculoskeletal stress (MSS)<sup>2</sup>



39% have lower back pain<sup>3</sup>

15% to 24% of ophthalmologists have limited their practice due to MSS<sup>4</sup>

One identified risk factor is the limited adjustability of surgical equipment<sup>5</sup>

This report will share the **preliminary clinical experience** of a **novel phacoemulsification** (phaco) **swivel handpiece** and **foot pedal** design which may **help mitigate** some **ergonomic burden** experienced during cataract surgery

1.Davis WT, Fletcher SA, Guillamondegui OD (2014) Musculoskeletal occupational injury among surgeons: effects for patients, providers, and institutions. J Surg Res 189 (2): 207-212 e206. 2.Kitzmann A, Fethke N, Baratz K, Zimmerman B, Hackbarth D, Gehrs K (2012) A survey Study of Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Eye Care Physicians Compared with Family Medicine Physicians. Ophthalmol 119: 213-220. 3. Honavar S (2017) Head up, heels down, posture perfect: Ergonomics for an ophthalmologist. Indian J Ophthalmol 65(8): 647-650. 4. Venkatesh R, Kumar S (2017) Indian J Ophthalmol 65(8): 678-682. 5. Roach L (2009) Ergonomics, part two: Seven risk factors and seven solutions. Available at: https://www.aao.org/eyenet/article/ergonomics-parttwo-seven-risk-factors-sevensolut.

## Methods

### **Ergonomic Designed Test Articles**





### **Preclinical Equipment Factors Evaluation<sup>1</sup>**

- 13 surgeon's evaluation of a novel phaco system
- · Completing 2 simulated cataract surgeries on replica cataractous eyes of various densities
- Investigators completed post task subjective assessment

# Methods

## Clinical Study Design<sup>1</sup>

Prospective, open-label clinical study of a novel phaco system



Investigators performed routine small-incision cataract surgery with the novel phaco system

### **Clinical Population**



Age 22 or greater years of age with cataracts for which cataract extraction and posterior chamber IOL implantation have been planned

### **Clinical Endpoints**



Overall performance and satisfaction rating of the swivel handpiece



Overall performance and satisfaction rating of the foot pedal

## Results

### **Preclinical Subjective Assessment Results<sup>1</sup>**



Question: How would you rate the comfort of holding the handpiece & managing the tubing during the procedure?

Likert scale: 1 = very comfortable to 6 = very uncomfortable

100% of surgeons reported comfortable use and easy management of swivel handpiece with tubing.



Question: How would you rate the level of fatigue in your foot after using the foot pedal?

Likert scale: 1 = very fresh to 6 = very fatigued

100% of the surgeons reported that no foot fatigue was experienced after use.

# Results

### Clinical Questionnaire Results<sup>1</sup>

2 surgeons, 58 cataract surgeries (41 subjects)

Surgeons rated satisfaction using a Likert scale of 1 - 5

- 1- unsatisfied
- 2- somewhat unsatisfied
- 3- neither satisfied nor unsatisfied

4- satisfied

5- very satisfied

Questionnaire Items	Cataract Status				n/N (over with	% for rating of
	Trace/Mild		Moderate/Severe		n/N (eyes with ratings of 4 or for the second s	4 or 5
	n	%	n	%	<ul> <li>5/eyes treated)</li> </ul>	(95% CI)
Satisfaction with weight and size of swivel handpiece						94.0%
Score of 3	1	4.3%	2	7.4%	47/50*	(0.83, 0.99)
Score of 4 or 5	22	95.7%	25	92.6%		
	•			•		
Satisfaction with surgeon control of swivel handpiece						94.0%
Score of 3	1	4.3%	2	7.4%	47/50*	(0.83, 0.99)
Score of 4 or 5	22	95.7%	25	92.6%		
	-			•		
Overall foot pedal satisfaction					58/58	100.0%
Score of 4 or 5	27	100.0%	31	100.0%	50/50	(0.94, 1.00)
Satisfaction with enhanced foot pedal ergonomics					58/58	100.0%
Score 4 or 5	27	100.0%	31	100.0%	]	(0.94, 1.00)

\*50 eyes were treated with the swivel handpiece

# Conclusion

The results of this study suggest :



Use of a novel phaco system with a swivel handpiece and ergonomically designed foot pedal may aid in reducing identified cataract surgeon musculoskeletal stress. Further ergonomic investigations are recommended.